

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/764,287	01/23/2004	Michael D. Ellis	81788-4300	9180	
28765 7590 05/07/2007 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER		
			KARIKARI, KWASI		
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2617		
	·				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action	10/764,287	ELLIS ET
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/764,287	ELLIS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kwasi Karikari	2617	

3	Cammer	Art Offic						
	Kwasi Karikari	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.</u>								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706 07/0							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL	oliones with 07 OFD 44 07 week to	<b>6</b> 1 1 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below</li> </ul>		ducina or simplifyina t	ha issues for					
appeal; and/or			ine issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rejo	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).		-						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:	•							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nt before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.								
12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 12/13/2006 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

## Response to Arguments

In the remarks (regarding claim 3), the Applicant argues that both Kivela and Willard fail to teach "an individual network component in a modular personal network MPN". The examiner respectfully disagrees with such assertion.

Kivela and Willard teaches separate devices (which can be worn) that communicate between themselves, and therefore forming a communication network [(Kivela; Figs. 1a & 8; communication links between items 2,3 and 14) and (Willard; Fig. 1; communication between item 22 and 16)]; whereby the communications items are being associated with "individual network component" and the communication link between the communication items are being associated with the "modular personal network".

In the remarks (regarding claim 3), the Applicant also argues that the combination of Kivela and Willard in improper. The Examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant's argument.

Kivela and Willard are analogous art because they disclose concepts and practices regarding devices the can be worn and communicate among other devices in a communication network (Kivela; see Figs. 1a & 8; and Willard; see Fig. 1). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to combine Willard into Kivela. The motivation for said combination would have been, as Willard suggests (Willard; col. 2, lines 14-44), to provide a receiver means that can be worn and utilizes a separate presentation unit to for display of received data message. Furthermore, Kivela teaches that radio telephones can be adjacent to each other and used simultaneously without disturbing each other (see col. 3, lines 22-45).

Regarding claims 4-6 and 11-13, the Applicant argues that the combination of Kivela and Willard fails to "describe earring as network component", however, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "describe earring as network component") are not recited in the rejected claims 4-6 and 11-13. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims.

Moreover, Willard teaches that a wrist worn presentation unit 22 may take a form of bracelet, pendant, necklace or the like (see col. 3, lines 52-64); which can be associated with the "earring component" as cited in the claim rejections.

Therefore, based on the above remarks, the Final Office Action is respectfully maintained. Further amendments to clarify Applicant's claimed languages, would require further search for reconsideration.

## Conclusion

Examiner's Note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the Examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kwasi Karikari whose telephone number is 571-272-8566. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8 am - 4pm). If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8566. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kwasi Kalikati

Patent Examiner.

04/25/2007.

JEAN GELIN PRIMARY EXAMINÈR ~